RadioJockey: Mining Program Execution to Optimize Cellular Radio Usage

Pavan Kumar, Ranjita Bhagwan, Saikat Guha, Vishnu Navda, Ramachandran Ramjee, Dushyant Arora, Venkat Padmanabhan, George Varghese

Microsoft Research India

Problem Context: Overheads in Cellular Radio Usage



Existing Radio-tail Optimizations

 Amortize tail overhead by shaping traffic

 a) TailEnder [IMC 09]



- 2. Adapt tail using Fast-dormancy
 - a) Based on application hints TOP [ICNP 10]
 - b) Based on client-side idle timers -Falaki et al. [IMC 10]



Existing Radio-tail Optimizations

1. Amortize tail overhead by shaping traffic

a) TailEnder [IMC 09] Requires app changes



- 2. Adapt tail using Fast-dormancy
 - a) Based on application hints –

Requires app changes +

developer awareness

b) Based on client-side idle timers -

Commonly used in many smartphones (3-5 sec timers)



Fast Dormancy Woes



Disproportionate increase in signaling traffic caused due to increase in use of fast-dormancy

"Apple upset several operators last year when it implemented firmware 3.0 on the iPhone with a fast dormancy feature that prematurely requested a network release only to follow on with a request to connect back to the network or by a request to re-establish a connection with the network ..." What's really causing the capacity crunch? - FierceWireless

Problem #1: Chatty Background Apps



CDF of inter-packet times for Outlook application running in background

- No distinctive knee
- High mispredictions for fixed inactivity timer

Problem #2: Varying Network Conditions



CDF of inter-packet times for Lync application for different network conditions

- Signal quality variations and handoffs cause sudden latency spikes
- Aggressive timers frequently misfire

Objectives

 Design a fast-dormancy policy for longstanding background apps which

Achieves energy savings

– Without increasing signaling overhead

Without requiring app modifications

When to Invoke Fast Dormancy?



Energy savings when $t_s \ge 3 \text{ sec}$ and fast dormancy is invoked immediately after end of session

Problem: predict end of session (or onset of network inactivity)

Idea: exploit unique application characteristics (if any) at end of sessions Typical operations performed:

• UI element update



- Memory allocation or cleanup
- Processing received data

System calls invoked by an app can provide insights into the operations being performed

Predicting onset of network inactivity

- Technique: Supervised learning using C5.0 decision trees
- Data item: system calls observed immediately after a packet (encoded as bit-vector)
- Label: ACTIVE or EOS



Decision tree example



Rules: (DispatchMessage & ! send) => EOS ! DispathcMessage => ACTIVE (DispatchMessage & send) => ACTIVE

RadioJockey System



Evaluation Overview

- Trace driven simulations on traces from 14 applications (Windows and Android platform) on 3G network
 - Feature set evaluation for training
 - variable workloads and network characteristics
 - 20-40% energy savings and 1-4% increase in signaling over 3 sec idle timer
- 2. Runtime evaluation on 3 concurrent background applications on Windows

Energy drain and signaling overhead



Signaling overhead normalized to a 3-second idle timer approach

Runtime Evaluation with Concurrent Background Applications

Applications	Energy Savings (%)	Signaling Overhead (%)
Outlook	24.03	4.47
GTalk	24.07	4.57
Lync	24.14	0
All	22.8	6.96

- 22-24% energy savings at a cost of 4-7 % signaling overhead
- Marginal increase in signaling due to variance in packet timestamps

Summary

- RadioJockey predicts onset of network inactivity using system calls invoked by background apps
- Requires no modifications to existing apps legacy, native and managed apps
- Achieves energy savings of 20-40% with marginal increase in signaling overhead

Backup Slides

Predict using only network features

• Features : IP, ports, TCP flags, HTTP headers

- Performance:
 - Energy savings only for simple apps
 - No good rules for complex apps(Outlook and Lync)
 - Cannot handle apps that use encryption

Varying networks and workloads



Energy consumed normalized to a 3-second idle timer approach

Feature Space Exploration and Choice of Window Size



- PrevState feature captures temporal state information
- Adding PrevState into learning boosted savings
- t_w of 0.5 seconds sufficient for most applications

Understanding Fast Dormancy Feature

- Client controlled
- Tail energy reduced to ~1.5J
- Without network support
 - RRC connection torn down
 - DCH/FACH to IDLE
 - Ramp-up costs up to 30 msgs
- With network support
 - Ramp-down to PCH instead of IDLE
 - Ramp-up to DCH incurs 12 msgs

